

# **EPA Puget Sound Financial and Ecosystem Accounting Tracking System (FEATS)**

Photo by Rebecca Pirtle, Editor, Kingston Community News (Doe-Kag-Wats Estuary of the Suquamish Tribe)

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

Federal Grant     Number	PA-00J912-01	*2a. Reporting Period Start Date:	4/1/2015	*2b. Reporting Period End Date:	9/30/2015		
3. Recipient Organization	n (Name and complete ac	dress including zip	4. Project Manager Co	ntact Information			
code) Name: Northwest   Address 1: 6730 Martir Address 2: City: Olympia	Indian Fisheries Commissi n Way East State: WA Zip Code: 9	8516-5540	Name: Tiffany Waters Phone: (360) 528-4318 Ext: Fax: ( ) - Email: twaters@nwifc.org				
5a. Program (RFP) Tribal Lead Org		Title  Indian Fisheries In Lead Organization Award	*6. Collaborating Orga None				

Submission Instructions: EPA fills in the white boxes. Grantee fills in the yellow boxes	Project Officer: Lisa Chang U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Email: chang.lisa@epa.gov	Person Submitting Report	Tiffany Waters PS Recovery Proj. Coordinator
(boxes with asterisks).  Refer to guidance document for how	Linaii. Chang.iisa & epa.gov	*7b. Date Report Submitted	10/30/2015
to fill out the boxes.	Technical Monitor:		

\*7a. Name/Title of

After completing the form, save and e-mail it to the Project Officer and cc: the Technical Monitor.

Technical Monitor:
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Email:

## **FUNDING/COST ANALYSIS**

8a. Total EPA Assistance Amount Awarded:	\$4,980,000.00	8b. Funding Year (Federal Fiscal Year Funds Appropriated)	FY 2014 	*9. Total EPA Amount Expended To- Date:	\$361,214.46	*10. Funds Drawn Down from EPA To- Date:	\$328,527.00
11. Match Amount Required	\$0.00	*12. Total Match Amount Expended and Documented To- Date:	\$0.00	*13. Have you experienced any cost overruns or high unit costs?	No		
*14. What issues or questions do you need the EPA Project Officer or Technical Monitor to respond to?		None					

## **BUDGET UPDATE**

	15a	a. APPROVED BUDGE	T	*15b. SPENT TO-DATE				
	EPA	MATCH	TOTAL	EPA	MATCH	TOTAL		
Personnel	\$124,201.00	\$0.00	\$124,201.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		
Fringe Benefits	\$38,208.14	\$0.00	\$38,208.14	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		
Travel	\$3,294.28	\$0.00	\$3,294.28	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		
Supplies	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		
Contracts	\$13,000.00	\$0.00	\$13,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		
Other	\$4,719,649.99	\$0.00	\$4,719,649.99	\$361,214.46	\$0.00	\$361,214.46		
TOTAL DIRECT CHARGES	\$4,900,353.41	\$0.00	\$4,900,353.41	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		
Indirect Charges	\$79,646.59	\$0.00	\$79,646.59	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		
TOTAL	\$4,980,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,980,000.00	\$361,214.46	\$0.00	\$361,214.46		
*Explain Any Discrepancies:	During this reporting period, the FY10-FY13 funds were still being utilized for the personnel, fringe, travel, supplies, contracts, and indirect. The 'other' category includes the FY14 and FY15 subawards has just begun to be spend down by the subrecipients. As the non-subaward portion of the FY10-FY13 funds has now been completely expended, NWIFC will begin invoicing those costs to this FY14-15 award starting 10/1/15.							

## **ECOSYSTEM GOALS ADDRESSED**

16a. Primary Goal	Healthy Habitat				
16b. Additional Goals	Healthy Species	Water Quality	Water Quantity	 	

## **DIRECT THREATS ADDRESSED**

17a. Primary Threat							
17b. Secondary Threat(s)	Climate Change Dams/Levees/Tidegates Derelict Gear/Vessels Development Invasive Species - Terrestrial Invasive Species - Marine						
	Large Scale Timber Harvest Shoreline Armoring Surface Water Loading/Runoff from the Built Env						

## LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND ACTION AGENDA

18a. Strategic Priorities Employed	Priority A	Priority B	Priority C	Priority D	Priority E
18b. Near-Term Actions Supported	D.3 NTA 3				
18c. Other Actions Supported					

## LINKAGES TO EPA PUGET SOUND MEASURES

19. Measure(s) Habitat Restored/Protected		
---	--	--

## LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND DASHBOARD INDICATORS

20a. Primary Indicator				
20b. Additional Indicators	Marine Water Quality Index	Stream Flows Below Critical Levels	Wild Chinook Salmon	Pacific Herring
	Shoreline Armoring			

## PROJECT LOCATION

21a. Latitude	47.051698	21b. Longitude	-122.792501
21c. Hydrologic Unit Code	171100 - Sound-wide		
21d. Action Area	Sound-wide		

**MEASURES OF SUCCESS (Key Grant Outputs)** 

*22a. Description (e.g., "shellfish beds reopened")	*22b. Unit (e.g., "acres")	*22c. Project Target ("number")	*22d. Project Measure To- Date ("number")
Developed and distributed a final RFP to 21 Tribes and Tribal Consortiums for each fiscal year (FY14 and FY15)	RFP Document	2	2
Developed and engaged in a Coordination Plan, disseminating and sharing a subrecipient project information document each fiscal year (FY14 and FY15) with tribes and LO group	Subrecipient Proposal Information	2	0
Approved 21 subrecipient proposals, communicated award notification, and executed contracts to all subrecipients for each fiscal year (FY14 and FY15)	Subrecipient Contracts in Place	42	18
Closed-out 21 subrecipient workplans with deliverables received and posted online to PSP/NWIFC website for each fiscal year (FY14 and FY15)	Subrecipient Contracts Closed-out	42	0

#### **PROJECT MILESTONES**

Instructions: In the tables below, please explain your progress toward meeting agreed outputs for the period, reasons for slippages, and any additional information including reflections, lessons learned, and/or thoughtful analysis. When appropriate, include analysis and information of cost overruns or high unit costs, and changes to work plan or budget not requiring prior approval from EPA. We encourage photo documentation - please attach to the report as a separate document.

23a. Work Plan Component/Task: 1. Program development and launch

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed: D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

## \*23c. Estimated Costs:

**Actual Costs to Date:** 

(If required by PO)

23d. Sub- Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
					NWIFC developed a
					communication/outreach plan for
				Communication/outreach	all fiscal years that consisted of:
1.1	Communication/outreach plan	6.23.14	COMPLETED	plan	(1) a transmittal note for the RFP;

	<u></u>				(2) a mailing distribution list that
					ensured that all eligible entities
					were notified equitably, timely,
					and thoroughly; and (3) a target
					date for releasing the RFP.
					3
					Due to our previous interactions
					and current relationships with our
					member tribes, we had in place a
					Puget Sound Tribes distribution
					list that contained pertinent tribal
					contacts. We vetted this
					distribution list to ensure that the
					proper contacts are included and have continued to add to this
					distribution list as needed and
					requested.
	1				NWIFC developed the previous
					fiscal year RFPs through close
					consultation with the EPA,
					utilizing and editing the FY10-14
					RFPs to finalize the FY14's
					timeline.
					Additions to the EV14 DED of
					and condition. There were no
					significant changes to the FY15
					RFP.
	]				
	]				
1.0	DED development as 1. Patrill. Car.	0.00.44.7.04.45	COMPLETED	Elect DED 40 C0 Cod	
1.2	KFP development and distribution	9.30.14, 7.24.15	COMPLETED	Final KFP distributed	
	]				·
	]				
	]				
	]				and activities of the subrecipient
	]				key reviewer of these subawards;
1.3	Coordination plan	1.31.11	COMPLETED	Coordination plan	(2) engaging the EPA Project
1.2	RFP development and distribution  Coordination plan	9.30.14, 7.24.15	COMPLETED	Final RFP distributed	significant changes to the FY1 RFP.  NWIFC distributed the final RF for FY14 on 9.30.14 and for F on 7.24.15.  NWIFC previously developed coordination plan that can be executed throughout the proje period and includes: (1) ensur that the PSP is aware of the a and activities of the subrecipie projects by enlisting them as a key reviewer of these subawa

		Officer to discuss the capacity awards that the subrecipient projects are concurrently receiving, in order to avoid duplicative funding efforts; (3) engaging in existing processes and groups to disseminate and share subrecipient project information, including the ECB, the Leadership Council, the PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and the PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus; (4) participating in LO meetings to ensure that other LOs are fully award of our subrecipient projects and vise versa; (5) an existing NWIFC website that is dedicated to information related to Puget Sound Partnership and Treaty Tribes of Western Washington.

23a. Work Plan Component/Task: 2. Award cycle

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed: D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

## \*23c. Estimated Costs:

Actual Costs to Date: (If required by PO)

23d. Sub- Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
					All draft FY14 proposals were
					submitted to NWIFC by 11.14.14
				Project files set up;	and all draft FY15 proposals were
				comments from all	submitted to NWIFC by 9.4.15.
				reviewers documented;	
				input shared with	For FY14, NWIFC has provided
2.1	Reviewing subaward proposals	Ongoing	CURRENT	applicants	18 full proposals to the multi-

					agency review group and input
					has been shared with the
					applicants. There are three
					proposals that have not yet been
					sent to the EPA and PSP yet as
					they are in different stages of pre
					or internal review. There is one
					proposal that has been put on
					hold while the subrecipient and
					EPA discuss the ongoing project;
					one proposal that is still in pre-
					review as NWIFC and
					subrecipient are discussing and
					concentrating on completing
					previous fiscal year subawards;
					and one proposal that was on
					hold while EPA determined
					whether their project would
					require an exception to the
					riparian buffer term and condition.
					Now that the latter project has
					been determined by EPA to not
					require an exception, the
					subrecipient is developing a full
					proposal and this proposal will be
					sent for external review within the
					following month.
					Tollowing month.
					For FY15, NWIFC has provided
					13 full proposals to the multi-
					agency review group and is
					conducting pre-reviews with the
					remaining 8 applicants.
					NWIFC has has shared reviewer
					input with 5 applicants.
					For FY14, final workplans
					addressing key input have been
				Final workplans	received and subawards have
				addressing key input	been issued for 18 subrecipients.
				received; 65% of funds	~65% of funds were awarded by
				awarded by 11/16/14	4/15/15. Due to the hold status on
				and 11/16/15; 100% by	one of the proposals, it can not be
				2/13/15 and 1/1/16; all	determined at this time when
	Receive final proposals and make			recipients informed of	100% of the funds will be
2.2	subawards	Ongoing	CURRENT	award requirements	subawarded.

1	 	
		For FY15, final workplans addressing key input have been received for 3 subrecipients and their workplans are currently undergoing a final budgetary review and contracting within NWIFC's contracts office. It is still anticipated that 65% of funds will be subawarded by 11.16.15.
		All contracted subrecipients have been informed of award requirements, as included in their NWIFC subaward.
		For FY14 this includes:EPA Administrative and Programmatic Conditions; Anti-lobbying Certification; MBE/WBE Certification; Federal Financial Report; EPA FEATS; OMB Circulars A-87, A-133 & A-102; 2 CFR Part 1326, Subpart C; and 40 CFR Part 34.
		For FY15 this includes: EPA Administrative and Programmatic Conditions; Anti-lobbying Certification; Federal Financial Report; EPA FEATS; 2 CFR 200 Uniform Guidance; 2 CFR 1326, Subpart C; and 40 CFR Part 34
1		

23a. Work Plan Component/Task: 3. Program management

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed: D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

## \*23c. Estimated Costs: Actual Costs to Date: (If required by PO)

23d. Sub- Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
ruskitoi					Throughout the duration of this
					award, the appropriate support
					will continued to be given in
					regards to the award process and
					applicable award requirements.
				All subrecipients	The Projects Coordinator retains
0.4	Common and from a set or side account of a	0	CURRENT	understand applicable	and files all email
3.1	Support/meet with awardees	Ongoing	CURRENT	award requirements	correspondence.
					For all subreceipients that were both contracted and has begun to
					expend funding or engage in
					tasks for their FY14 projects,
					FEATS reports were submitted for
					the first FY14 reporting period of
					10.1.14 - 3.31.15 on 4.30.15.
					The Projects Coordinator
					reviewed FEATS for progress to
					ensure that all subreceipients are
					in compliance with applicable
					award requirements, including but
					not limited ot: project timeline adherence, task and output
					progress (including project
					requirements such as QAPP and
				Subawardee reporting	permit approval), and draw-down
				requirements met; site	rate versus expenditures. When
				visits conducted to 33%	necessary, the Projects
				of funded projects; site	Coordinator communicated with
				visit and progress	subrecepients to clarify
				reports prepared and	information provided in the
				made available; all	FEATS reports and ascertain
				recipients in	additional project progress. All
				compliance with	approved subrecipient FEATS
	On which was in the same of the desire	Omerica	OUDDENIT	applicable award	were sent to the Contracts
3.2	Conduct project monitoring	Ongoing	CURRENT	requirements	Specialist for filing and were

					posted enline to the DCD/NIM/IFC
					posted online to the PSP/NWIFC
					website.
					For the part reporting paried of
					For the next reporting period of
					4.1.15 - 9.30.15, the Projects
					Coordinator pre-populated the
					remaining FY14 FEATS reports
					and sent them to subrecipients
					approximately a month in advance
					of the 10.31.15 deadline.
					Progress reports will be reviewed
					and posted online to the
					dedicated website for these funds.
					Cito vioito will be determined
					Site visits will be determined
					through a risk evaluation matrix
					and conducted to assess project
					progress and compliance with
					award requirements (including, but not limited to - adherence to
					workplan timeline; progress and
					completion of tasks and outputs;
					QAPP development, review,
					and/or approval status;
					desire/need for an EPA TSR;
					obstacles or problems
					encountered by subrecipient;
					progress report requirement
					adherence; review of funds spent
					and/or high award balances, if
					applicable; and subrecipient
					questions regarding award
					conditions, including proposal,
					review, and reporting
					requirements).
					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
					All site visit reports will be held at
					NWIFC and will be available upon
					request.
				Exchange of project	NWIFC currently (1) engages the
				lists between LOs;	PSP as a key reviewer of these
				Update of the	subawards; (2) meets with the
				PSP/NWIFC website to	EPA Project Officer to discuss
				include subaward	relevant awards of the
3.3	Execute coordination plan	Ongoing	CURRENT	project descriptions and	subrecipients to ensure funding
		·		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				progress reports, as they become available; Other coordination activities to be developed in consultation with EPA PO	duplication does not occur; (3) participates in ECB, Leadership Council, PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus meetings (through the Capacity award); (4) participates in scheduled LO meetings and will disseminate a list of subrecipient projects when they are finalized; and (5) updates the PSP/NWIFC website to include meeting notes for ECB, Leadership Council, PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus meetings.
3.4	Reporting and adaptive management	10.31.14, 4.30.15, 10.30.15	CURRENT	LO reporting requirements fulfilled; quarterly check-in meetings/calls with EPA PO	Administration of the program, including periodic progress review, will be coordinated by the Projects Coordinator, under the tutelage of the Salmon Recovery Projects Coordinator. NWIFC will continue to be in close contact with NWIFC's EPA Project Officer, engaging in regular check-ins to clarify EPA proposal reviews and discuss challenges faced within the review process.  The LO reporting requirements have been fulfilled for 4.1.14 - 9.30.14, for 10.1.14 - 3.31.15 and are in the process of being fulfilled for the 4.1.15 - 9.30.15 reporting period (as being submitted through this FEATS report).

## 23a. Work Plan Component/Task:

## 

23a. Work Pla	23a. Work Plan Component/Task:					
23b. Action A	23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed:					
*23c. Estimat Actual Costs (If required by	to Date:					
23d. Sub- Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks	

**CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS (specific to reporting period)** 

*24a. Task No., Sub-Task No.	*24b. Challenge	*24c. Solution

#### HIGHLIGHTS/LESSONS LEARNED/REFLECTIONS

#### \*25.

(1) HIGHLIGHT: While all 21 subrecipient tribes will be advancing projects that will substantially contribute to the restoration and protection of Puget Sound, we have chosen to highlight the following FY14 projects that have been recently subawarded. When project accomplishments are available, they will be posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website (http://blogs.nwifc.org/psp/).

#### Skagit River System Cooperative

The Skagit River System Cooperative will continue to develop and produce the technical basis, methods, analysis and collaboration necessary to generate a draft recovery strategy of the Skagit Steelhead Recovery Plan for submission to area wide stakeholders in early 2016. Specific objectives include: (1) facilitation of technical team coordination, collaboration, assignments, products, and review; (2) integration and application of modeling approach; (3) generation of modeling inputs and population of model; (4) conducting gap analysis and developing research, monitoring, and adaptive management modules based on results; and (5) submitting to area and regional stakeholders a draft implementation strategy for the recovery of Skagit Steelhead populations for their review and contribution.

#### Puyallup Tribe of Indians

The Puyallup Tribe of Indians will engage in two projects: (1) conducting both main stem and tributary bank stabilization ground truth topographic surveys in upper Clarks Creek watershed to improve the accuracy and specificity of PS&E designs for construction, developing the SEPA checklist and coordinating with permitting agencies including Corps of Engineers and project partners, building physical structural model of restoration features, and designing charrette with project partners; and (2) and riparian and uplands planting on recent acquisition of a 5+ acre parcel on Clarks Creek by the Puyallup Tribe. Planting will provide riparian shade in an important salmon-bearing stream located on the Puyallup Reservation, provide a food base for salmon, and provide additional bank stability to reduce bank erosion.

#### Skokomish Tribal Nation

The Skokomish Tribal Nation will engage in two projects: (1) continued research and monitoring efforts to assess the effectiveness of the Skokomish Estuary Restoration Project. Continuing project tasks include: monitoring the relative abundance, distribution, residence time, feeding behavior, and species diversity of salmonids and other fish species; and monitoring sediment transport and estuarine mixing; and (2) improving fish access, flow dynamics, habitat quality and quantity, water quality, and flood relief by creating a connection channel from Weaver Creek to Purdy Creek. This proposed channel will be approximately 750 foot long and will allow for a more natural drainage pattern by providing a channel to carry water downstream to Purdy Creek instead of backing upstream to the distributary during high flows, and preventing stagnant conditions during summer low flows. Large woody debris will also be installed in the connection channel to further improve habitat conditions.

#### Suquamish Tribe

The Suquamish Tribe will develop and implement a monitoring plan to survey and document the location and extent of forage fish spawning in East Kitsap County. This project will utilize the methods and the systematic and comprehensive sampling strategy currently in use by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) on the outer Washington Coast and elsewhere in Puget Sound. This effort is intended to comprehensively update data collected by WDFW since 1972 and improve the accuracy of distribution (both spatial and temporal) of beach spawning forage fish East Kitsap County. Washington State

has designated forage fish spawning areas as Saltwater Habitats of Special Concern and has applied "no net loss" provisions to protect them. State and local regulatory programs for protection of these habitats depends on accurate and up to date mapping of the location and timing of spawning.

#### Samish Indian Nation

The Samish Indian Nation will fund two projects: (1) continuing to partner with WDNR to provide transportation to remote San Juan Island Beaches for the purpose of creosote removal and trash removal from those beaches. In addition to transporting Puget Sound Corps Crews to these locations, Samish will also conduct additional creosote piling removal efforts with WDNR and Friends of the San Juans to provide location and photo documentation of creosote piling removal sites for the purpose of allowing contractors to effectively bid on piling removal activities. Samish will also perform marine debris surveys and clean-up activities on state owned beaches throughout the San Juan Archipelago; and (2) creating a beach enhancement design for habitat restoration and beach enhancement of 1,760 feet along Fidalgo Bay. The proposed design will build on work completed in 2009 and 2012 and will replenish the lost sediment supply by rebuilding a natural beach profile that will reduce the effects of bigger storm surges and provide increased shade and habitat for forage fish.